arXiv:1511.03126v1 [math.AP] 10 Nov 2015

THE LIFESPAN OF SMALL SOLUTIONS TO CUBIC DERIVATIVE NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS IN ONE SPACE DIMENSION

YUJI SAGAWA AND HIDEAKI SUNAGAWA

Abstract. Consider the initial value problem for cubic derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension. We provide a detailed lower bound estimate for the lifespan of the solution, which can be computed explicitly from the initial data and the nonlinear term. This is an extension and a refinement of the previous work by one of the authors [H. Sunagawa: Osaka J. Math. **43** (2006), 771–789] where the gauge-invariant nonlinearity was treated.

1. Introduction and the main result

This paper is concerned with the lifespan of solutions to cubic derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension with small initial data:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 u = N(u, \partial_x u), & t > 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0,x) = \varepsilon\varphi(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $u = u(t,x)$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-valued unknown function, $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter which is responsible for the size of the initial data, and φ is a prescribed $\mathbb{C}$-valued function which belongs to $H^3 \cap H^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})$. Here and later on as well, H^s denotes the standard L^2-based Sobolev space of order s, and the weighted Sobolev space $H^{s,\sigma}$ is defined by $\{\phi \in L^2 \mid \langle\cdot\rangle^\sigma \phi \in H^s\}$, equipped with the norm $\|\phi\|_{H^{s,\sigma}} = \|\langle\cdot\rangle^\sigma \phi\|_{H^s}$, where $\langle x\rangle = \sqrt{1+x^2}$. Throughout this paper, the nonlinear term $N(u, \partial_x u)$ is always assumed to be a cubic homogeneous polynomial in $(u, \overline{u}, \partial_x u, \overline{\partial_x u})$ with complex coefficients. We will often write u_x for $\partial_x u$.

From the perturbative point of view, cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension are of special interest because the best possible decay in L^2_x of general cubic nonlinear terms is $O(t^{-1})$, so the cubic nonlinearity must be regarded as a long-range perturbation. In general, standard perturbative approach is valid only for $t \lesssim \exp(o(\varepsilon^{-2}))$, and our problem is to make clear how the nonlinearity affects the behavior of the solutions for $t \gtrsim \exp(o(\varepsilon^{-2}))$. Let us recall some known results briefly. The most well-studied case is the gauge-invariant case, that is the case where N satisfies

$$N(e^{i\theta}z, e^{i\theta}\zeta) = e^{i\theta}N(z,\zeta), \qquad (z,\zeta) \in \mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.2}$$

There are a lot of works devoted to large-time behavior of the solution to (1.1) under (1.2) (see e.g., [29], [20], [26], [4], [5], [15], [14] and the references cited therein). On the other

Date: November 11, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q55, 35B40.

Key words and phrases. Derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations; Lifespan; Detailed lower bound.

hand, if (1.2) is violated, the situation becomes delicate due to the appearance of oscillation structure. It is pointed out in [7] (see also [6], [28], [24]) that contribution of non-gauge-invariant terms may be regarded as a short-range perturbation if at least one derivative of u is included, whereas, as studied in [8], [9], [10], [11], [25], [12] etc., it turns out that contribution of non-gauge-invariant cubic terms without derivative is quite difficult to handle. In what follows, let us assume that N satisfies

$$N(e^{i\theta},0) = e^{i\theta}N(1,0), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.3}$$

to exclude the worst terms u^3, $\overline{u}^2u$ and $\overline{u}^3$ (see the appendix for explicit representation of N satisfying (1.3)). We also define $\nu : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\nu(\xi) := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|z|=1} N(z, i\xi z)\frac{dz}{z^2}, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Roughly speaking, this contour integral extracts the contribution of the gauge-invariant part in N. Remark that $\nu(\xi)$ coincides with $N(1, i\xi)$ in the gauge-invariant case (see also (A.7) below). Typical previous results on global existence and large-time asymptotic behavior of solutions to (1.1) under (1.3) can be summarized in terms of $\nu(\xi)$ as follows (see [7] and [14] for the detail):

(i) If $\operatorname{Im}\nu(\xi) \le 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, then the solution exists globally in $C([0,\infty); H^3 \cap H^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}))$ for sufficiently small ε. Moreover the solution satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le \frac{C\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1+t}}, \quad t \ge 0,$$

where the constant C is independent of ε.

(ii) If $\operatorname{Im}\nu(\xi) = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, then the solution has a logarithmic oscillating factor in the asymptotic profile, i.e., it holds that

$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\alpha(x/t)\exp\left(i\frac{x^2}{2t} - i|\alpha(x/t)|^2 \operatorname{Re}\nu(x/t)\log t\right) + o(t^{-1/2})$$

as $t \to +\infty$ uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\alpha(\xi)$ is a suitable $\mathbb{C}$-valued function satisfying $|\alpha(\xi)| \lesssim \varepsilon$. In particular, the solution is asymptotically free if and only if $\nu(\xi)$ vanishes identically on $\mathbb{R}$.

(iii) If $\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Im}\nu(\xi) < 0$, then the solution gains an additional logarithmic time-decay:

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le \frac{C'\varepsilon}{\sqrt{(1+t)\{1+\varepsilon^2\log(2+t)\}}}, \quad t \ge 0,$$

where the constant C' is independent of ε.

Now, let us turn our attentions to the remaining case: $\operatorname{Im}\nu(\xi_0) > 0$ for some $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. To the authors' knowledge, there is no global existence result in that case, and many interesting problems are left unsolved especially when we focus on the issue of small data blow-up. In the previous paper [27], lower bounds for the lifespan T_ε of the solution to (1.1) are considered in detail under the assumption (1.2). It is proved in [27] that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon\to+0} \varepsilon^2 \log T_\varepsilon \ge \frac{1}{2\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}}(|\hat{\varphi}(\xi)|^2 \operatorname{Im} N(1,i\xi))} =: \tau_0, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\hat{\varphi}$ denotes the Fourier transform of φ, i.e.,

$$\hat{\varphi}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iy\xi} \varphi(y)\, dy, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

by constructing an approximate solution u_a which blows up at the time $t = \exp(\tau_0/\varepsilon^2)$ and getting an a priori estimate not for the solution u itself but for the difference $u - u_a$. What is important in (1.4) is that this is quite analogous to the famous results due to John [19] and Hörmander [17] which concern quasilinear wave equations in three space dimensions (see also [18] and [3] for related results on the Klein-Gordon case). Remember that the detailed lifespan estimates obtained in [19] and [17] are fairly sharp and have close connection with the so-called *null condition* introduced by Klainerman [22] and Christodoulou [2]. However, the approach exploited in [27] has the following two drawbacks:

- it heavily relies on the gauge-invariance (1.2),
- it requires higher regularity and faster decay as $|x| \to \infty$ for φ than those for $u(t, \cdot)$.

The purpose of this paper is to improve these two points. To state the main result, let us define $\tilde{\tau}_0 \in (0, +\infty]$ by

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_0} = 2 \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \Big(|\hat{\varphi}(\xi)|^2 \operatorname{Im} \nu(\xi) \Big), \tag{1.5}$$

where we associate $1/\tilde{\tau}_0 = 0$ with $\tilde{\tau}_0 = +\infty$. Remark that the right-hand side of (1.5) is always non-negative because $\operatorname{Im} \nu(\xi) = O(|\xi|^3)$ and $|\hat{\varphi}(\xi)|^2 = O(|\xi|^{-4})$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$. In particular, we can easily check that $\tilde{\tau}_0 = +\infty$ if $\operatorname{Im} \nu(\xi) \le 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. We note also that $\tilde{\tau}_0$ coincides with τ_0 if (1.2) is satisfied. The main result of this paper is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\varphi \in H^3 \cap H^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose that the nonlinear term N satisfies* (1.3). *Let T_ε be the supremum of $T > 0$ such that* (1.1) *admits a unique solution in $C([0,T); H^3 \cap H^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}))$. Then we have*

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to +0} \varepsilon^2 \log T_\varepsilon \ge \tilde{\tau}_0,$$

where $\tilde{\tau}_0 \in (0, +\infty]$ is given by (1.5).

We close this section with the contents of this paper: Section 2 is devoted to a lemma on some ordinary differential equation. In Section 3, we recall basic properties of the operators $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$, as well as the smoothing property of the linear Schrödinger equations. After that, we will get an a priori estimate in Section 4, and the main theorem will be proved in Section 5. The proof of technical lemmas will be given in the appendix.

2. A lemma on ODE

In this section we introduce a lemma on some ordinary differential equation, keeping in mind an application to (4.11) below.

Let κ, $\theta_0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ be continuous functions satisfying

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\kappa(\xi)| < \infty, \quad \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\theta_0(\xi)| < \infty, \quad \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \big(|\theta_0(\xi)|^2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa(\xi) \big) \ge 0.$$

We set $C_1 = \sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}} |\kappa(\xi)|$ and define $\tau_1 \in (0, +\infty]$ by

$$\tau_1 = \frac{1}{2\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}}\big(|\theta_0(\xi)|^2 \operatorname{Im}\kappa(\xi)\big)},$$

where $1/0$ is understood as $+\infty$. Let $\beta_0(t,\xi)$ be a solution to

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t\beta_0(t,\xi) = \dfrac{\kappa(\xi)}{t}|\beta_0(t,\xi)|^2\beta_0(t,\xi), \\ \beta_0(1,\xi) = \varepsilon\theta_0(\xi), \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a parameter. Then it is easy to see that

$$|\beta_0(t,\xi)|^2 = \frac{\varepsilon^2|\theta_0(\xi)|^2}{1 - 2\varepsilon^2|\theta_0(\xi)|^2 \operatorname{Im}\kappa(\xi)\log t}$$

as long as the denominator is strictly positive. In view of this expression, we can see that

$$\sup_{(t,\xi)\in[1,e^{\sigma/\varepsilon^2}]\times\mathbb{R}} |\beta_0(t,\xi)| \le C_2\varepsilon \tag{2.2}$$

for $\sigma \in (0, \tau_1)$, where

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sigma/\tau_1}} \sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}} |\theta_0(\xi)| \quad (<\infty),$$

while

$$\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}} |\beta_0(t,\xi)| \to +\infty \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \exp(\tau_1/\varepsilon^2) - 0$$

if $\tau_1 < \infty$.

Next we consider a perturbation of (2.1). For this purpose, let $T > 1$ and let $\theta_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, $\rho : [1,T)\times\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ be continuous functions satisfying

$$\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}} |\theta_1(\xi)| \le C_3\varepsilon^{1+\delta}, \qquad \sup_{(t,\xi)\in[1,T)\times\mathbb{R}} t^{1+\mu}|\rho(t,\xi)| \le C_4\varepsilon^{1+\delta}$$

with some C_3, C_4, δ, $\mu > 0$. Let $\beta : [1,T)\times\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a smooth function satisfying

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t\beta(t,\xi) = \dfrac{\kappa(\xi)}{t}|\beta(t,\xi)|^2\beta(t,\xi) + \rho(t,\xi), \quad (t,\xi) \in (1,T)\times\mathbb{R}, \\ \beta(1,\xi) = \varepsilon\theta_0(\xi) + \theta_1(\xi). \end{cases}$$

The following lemma asserts that an estimate similar to (2.2) remains valid if (2.1) is perturbed by ρ and θ_1:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\sigma \in (0,\tau_1)$. We set $T_* = \min\{T, e^{\sigma/\varepsilon^2}\}$. For $\varepsilon \in (0, M^{-1/\delta}]$, we have*

$$\sup_{(t,\xi)\in[1,T_*)\times\mathbb{R}} |\beta(t,\xi)| \le C_2\varepsilon + M\varepsilon^{1+\delta},$$

where

$$M = \left(2C_3 + \frac{C_4}{\mu}\right) e^{C_1(1+3C_2+3C_2^2)\sigma}.$$

Proof. We put $w(t,\xi)=\beta(t,\xi)-\beta_0(t,\xi)$ and

$$T_{**}=\sup\Big\{\tilde{T}\in[1,T_*)\ \Big|\ \sup_{(t,\xi)\in[1,\tilde{T})\times\mathbb{R}}|w(t,\xi)|\le M\varepsilon^{1+\delta}\Big\}.$$

Note that $T_{**}>1$, because of the estimate

$$|w(1,\xi)|=|\theta_1(\xi)|\le C_3\varepsilon^{1+\delta}\le\frac{M}{2}\varepsilon^{1+\delta}$$

and the continuity of w. Since w satisfies

$$i\partial_t w=\frac{\kappa(\xi)}{t}\Big(|w+\beta_0|^2(w+\beta_0)-|\beta_0|^2\beta_0\Big)+\rho,$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t|w|^2&=2\,\mathrm{Im}\Big(\overline{w}\cdot i\partial_t w\Big)\\&\le\frac{2}{t}C_1\Big(M^2\varepsilon^{2+2\delta}+3C_2M\varepsilon^{2+\delta}+3C_2^2\varepsilon^2\Big)|w|^2+|w||\rho|\\&\le\frac{2}{t}\tilde{C}\varepsilon^2|w|^2+\frac{C_4\varepsilon^{1+\delta}}{t^{1+\mu}}|w|\end{aligned}$$

for $t\in[1,T_{**})$, where $\tilde{C}=C_1(1+3C_2+3C_2^2)$. By the Gronwall-type argument, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|w(t,\xi)|&\le\left(|\theta_1(\xi)|+\int_1^\infty\frac{C_4\varepsilon^{1+\delta}}{2s^{1+\mu+\tilde{C}\varepsilon^2}}ds\right)e^{\tilde{C}\varepsilon^2\log t}\\&\le\left(C_3\varepsilon^{1+\delta}+\frac{C_4\varepsilon^{1+\delta}}{2(\mu+\tilde{C}\varepsilon^2)}\right)e^{\tilde{C}\sigma}\\&\le\frac{M}{2}\varepsilon^{1+\delta}\end{aligned}$$

for $(t,\xi)\in[1,T_{**})\times\mathbb{R}$. This contradicts the definition of T_{**} if $T_{**}<T_*$. Therefore we conclude $T_{**}=T_*$. In other words, we have

$$\sup_{(t,\xi)\in[1,T_*)\times\mathbb{R}}|w(t,\xi)|\le M\varepsilon^{1+\delta},$$

whence

$$|\beta(t,\xi)|\le|\beta_0(t,\xi)|+|w(t,\xi)|\le C_2\varepsilon+M\varepsilon^{1+\delta}$$

for $(t,\xi)\in[1,T_*)\times\mathbb{R}$. This completes the proof. □

3. Preliminaries related to the Schrödinger operator

This section is devoted to preliminaries related to the operator $\mathcal{L}=i\partial_t+\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2$. In what follows, we denote several positive constants by C, which may vary from one line to another.

3.1. **The operators $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$.** We introduce $\mathcal{J} = x + it\partial_x$ and $\mathcal{Z} = x\partial_x + 2t\partial_t$, which have good compatibility with $\mathcal{L}$. The following relations will be used repeatedly in the subsequent sections:

$$[\partial_x, \mathcal{J}] = 1, \quad [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J}] = 0, \quad [\partial_x, \mathcal{Z}] = \partial_x, \quad [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{Z}] = 2\mathcal{L},$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ stands for the commutator of two linear operators. Another important relation is

$$\mathcal{J}\partial_x = \mathcal{Z} + 2it\mathcal{L}, \tag{3.1}$$

which will play the key role in our analysis. Next we set

$$(\mathcal{U}(t)\phi)(x) = e^{i\frac{t}{2}\partial_x^2}\phi(x) = \frac{e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t}} \phi(y) dy$$

for $t > 0$. We will occasionally abbreviate $\mathcal{U}(t)$ to $\mathcal{U}$ if it causes no confusion. Also we introduce

$$(\mathcal{G}\phi)(\xi) = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}\hat{\phi}(\xi) = \frac{e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iy\xi}\phi(y) dy.$$

The following lemma is well-known (see the series of papers by Hayashi and Naumkin [4]–[12] for the proof):

Lemma 3.1. *We have*

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty} \le t^{-1/2} \left\|\mathcal{G}\mathcal{U}^{-1}v\right\|_{L^\infty} + Ct^{-3/4}(\|v\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{J}v\|_{L^2})$$

for $t > 0$.

3.2. **Smoothing property.** In this subsection, we recall smoothing properties of the linear Schrödinger equations, which will be used effectively in Step 3 of §4.1. Among various kinds of smoothing properties, we will follow the approach of [13]. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the Hilbert transform, that is,

$$\mathcal{H}v(x) := \frac{1}{\pi}\text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v(y)}{x - y}\, dy.$$

With a non-negative weight function $\Phi(x)$, let us define the operator $\mathcal{S}_\Phi$ by

$$\mathcal{S}_\Phi v(x) := \left\{\cosh\left(\int_{-\infty}^{x} \Phi(y)\, dy\right)\right\} v(x) - i\left\{\sinh\left(\int_{-\infty}^{x} \Phi(y)\, dy\right)\right\} \mathcal{H}v(x).$$

Note that $\mathcal{S}_\Phi$ is L^2-automorphism and both $\|\mathcal{S}_\Phi\|_{L^2\to L^2}$ and $\|\mathcal{S}_\Phi^{-1}\|_{L^2\to L^2}$ are dominated by $C\exp(\|\Phi\|_{L^1})$. The following two lemmas enable us to get rid of the derivative loss coming from the nonlinear term:

Lemma 3.2. *Let $v = v(t, x)$ and $\psi = \psi(t, x)$ be $\mathbb{C}$-valued smooth functions. We set $\Phi(t, x) = \eta(|\psi|^2 + |\psi_x|^2)$ with $\eta \ge 1$. Then there exists the constant C, which is independent of η, such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathcal{S}_\Phi v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\sqrt{\Phi(t)}\mathcal{S}_\Phi|\partial_x|^{1/2}v(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\le Ce^{C\eta\|\psi(t)\|_{H^1}^2}\left(\eta\|\psi(t)\|_{W^{2,\infty}}^2 + \eta^3\|\psi(t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^6 + \eta\|\psi(t)\|_{H^1}\|\mathcal{L}\psi(t)\|_{H^1}\right)\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + 2\left|\langle\mathcal{S}_\Phi v(t), \mathcal{S}_\Phi\mathcal{L}v(t)\rangle_{L^2}\right|,
\end{aligned}$$

where we denote by $W^{k,\infty}$ the L^∞-based Sobolev space of order k.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $v = v(x)$ and $\psi = \psi(x)$ be $\mathbb{C}$-valued smooth functions. Suppose that q_1 and q_2 are quadratic homogeneous polynomials in $(\psi, \overline{\psi}, \psi_x, \overline{\psi_x})$. We set $\Phi(x) = \eta(|\psi|^2 + |\psi_x|^2)$ with $\eta \geq 1$. Then there exists the constant C, which is independent of η, such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle \mathcal{S}_\Phi v, \mathcal{S}_\Phi q_1(\psi, \psi_x)\partial_x v\rangle_{L^2}| + \left|\left\langle \mathcal{S}_\Phi v, \mathcal{S}_\Phi q_2(\psi, \psi_x)\overline{\partial_x v}\right\rangle_{L^2}\right| \\
&\leq C\eta^{-1} e^{C\eta\|\psi\|_{H^1}^2} \left\| \sqrt{\Phi}\mathcal{S}_\Phi |\partial_x|^{1/2} v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + Ce^{C\eta\|\psi\|_{H^1}^2} \left(1 + \eta^2\|\psi\|_{H^1}^4 + \eta^2\|\psi\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^4\right) \|\psi\|_{W^{2,\infty}}^2 \|v\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For the proof, see Section 2 in [13] (see also the appendix of [23]).

4. A priori estimate

Throughout this section, we fix $\sigma \in (0, \tilde{\tau}_0)$ and $T \in (0, e^{\sigma/\varepsilon^2}]$, where $\tilde{\tau}_0$ is defined by (1.5). Let $u \in C([0,T); H^3 \cap H^{2,1})$ be a solution to (1.1) for $t \in [0,T)$, and we set $\alpha(t,\xi) = \mathcal{G}\big[\mathcal{U}(t)^{-1}u(t,\cdot)\big](\xi)$, where $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ are given in Section 3. We also put

$$E(T) = \sup_{t\in[0,T)} \Big((1+t)^{-\gamma}(\|u(t)\|_{H^3} + \|\mathcal{J}u(t)\|_{H^2}) + \sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}}(\langle\xi\rangle^2|\alpha(t,\xi)|) \Big)$$

with $\gamma \in (0, 1/12)$. The goal of this section is to prove the following:

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that N satisfies* (1.3)*. Let σ, T and γ be as above. Then there exist positive constants ε_0 and K, not depending on T, such that*

$$E(T) \leq \varepsilon^{2/3} \tag{4.1}$$

implies

$$E(T) \leq K\varepsilon,$$

provided that $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$.

We divide the proof of this lemma into two subsections. We remark that many parts of the proof below are similar to that of Section 3 in [7], although we need modifications to fit for our purpose.

4.1. L^2-estimates.

In this part, we consider the bound for $\|u(t)\|_{H^3} + \|\mathcal{J}u(t)\|_{H^2}$. By virtue of the inequality

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^3} + \|\mathcal{J}u(t)\|_{H^2} \leq C\big(\|u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_x^3 u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{J}u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_x\mathcal{J}\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^2}\big), \tag{4.2}$$

it suffices to show that each term in the right-hand side can be dominated by $C\varepsilon(1+t)^\gamma$. We are going to estimate these four terms by separate ways.

Step 1: Estimate for $\|u(t)\|_{L^2}$. First we remark that (4.1) yields

$$\|u(t)\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{2/3}}{(1+t)^{1/2}}$$

for $t \in [0,T)$. Indeed, the Sobolev embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ yields

$$\|u(t)\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \leq C\|u(t)\|_{H^3} \leq C\varepsilon^{2/3}$$

for $t \leq 1$, while it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\|u(t)\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \leq \frac{C}{t^{1/2}} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \big(\langle \xi \rangle^2 |\alpha(t,\xi)| \big) + \frac{C}{t^{3/4}} \big(\|u(t)\|_{H^2} + \|\mathcal{J}u(t)\|_{H^2} \big) \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{2/3}}{t^{1/2}}$$

for $t \in [1, T)$. Now, by the standard energy method, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|N(u, u_x)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|u(t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2 \|u(t)\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{(1+t)^{1-\gamma}},$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq & \|u(0)\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{(1+\tau)^{1-\gamma}} d\tau \\ \leq & C\varepsilon + C\varepsilon^2 (1+t)^{\gamma} \\ \leq & C\varepsilon (1+t)^{\gamma} \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

for $t \in [0, T)$.

Step 2: Estimate for $\|\mathcal{J}u(t)\|_{L^2}$. If $t \leq 1$, there is no difficulty because we do not have to pay attentions to possible growth in t. Indeed, since

$$\|\mathcal{J}N(u(t), u_x(t))\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)\|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2 (\|\mathcal{J}u\|_{H^1} + \|u\|_{H^1}) \leq C\varepsilon^2,$$

we have

$$\|\mathcal{J}u(t)\| \leq C\|xu(0)\|_{L^2} + \int_0^1 \|\mathcal{J}N(u(\tau), u_x(\tau))\|_{L^2} d\tau \leq C\varepsilon + C\varepsilon^2$$

for $t \leq 1$. To consider the case of $t \geq 1$, let us first recall a remarkable lemma due to Hayashi–Naumkin [7]:

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that N satisfies* (1.3). *Then the following decomposition holds:*

$$\mathcal{J}N(u, u_x) = \mathcal{L}(tP) + Q,$$

where P is a cubic homogeneous polynomial in $(u, \overline{u}, u_x, \overline{u_x})$, and Q satisfies

$$\|Q\|_{L^2} \leq C\|u\|_{W^{2,\infty}}^2 (\|u\|_{H^1} + \|\mathcal{J}u\|_{H^1} + \|\mathcal{Z}u\|_{H^1}) + \frac{C}{t}\|u\|_{W^{2,\infty}} (\|\mathcal{J}u\|_{H^2} + \|u\|_{H^1})^2$$

for $t \geq 1$.

For the convenience of the readers, we shall give a sketch of the proof in the appendix. Now we are going to apply this lemma. Let $t \in [1, T)$. Since the above decomposition allows us to rewrite the original equation as

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{J}u - tP) = Q,$$

the standard energy method gives us

$$\|\mathcal{J}u(t) - tP\|_{L^2} \leq C(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2) + \int_1^t \|Q(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau.$$

By the relation (3.1), we have

$$\|\mathcal{Z}u\|_{H^1} \leq \|\mathcal{J}\partial_x u\|_{H^1} + 2t\|N(u, u_x)\|_{H^1} \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\gamma} + C\varepsilon^2 t(1+t)^{-1+\gamma} \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\gamma},$$

which leads to

$$\|Q(t)\|_{L^2} \le \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{(1+t)^{1-\gamma}}.$$

Also we have

$$\|P\|_{L^2} \le C\|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2\|u\|_{H^1} \le \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{(1+t)^{1-\gamma}}.$$

Summing up, we have

$$\|\mathcal{J}u(t)\|_{L^2} \le t\|P\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{J}u(t) - tP\|_{L^2} \le C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\gamma} \tag{4.4}$$

for $t \in [1, T)$.

Step 3: Estimate for $\|\partial_x^3 u(t)\|_{L^2}$. We apply Lemma 3.2 with $v = \partial_x^3 u$, $\psi = u$ and $\eta = \varepsilon^{-2/3}$. Then we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathcal{S}_\Phi \partial_x^3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\sqrt{\Phi}\mathcal{S}_\Phi|\partial_x|^{1/2}\partial_x^3 u\right\|_{L^2}^2 \le CB(t)\|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\left|\left\langle \mathcal{S}_\Phi\partial_x^3 u, \mathcal{S}_\Phi\partial_x^3 N(u,u_x)\right\rangle_{L^2}\right|,$$

where

$$B(t) = e^{C\varepsilon^{-2/3}\|u\|_{H^1}^2}\left(\varepsilon^{-2/3}\|u(t)\|_{W^{2,\infty}}^2 + \varepsilon^{-2}\|u(t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^6 + \varepsilon^{-2/3}\|u(t)\|_{H^1}\|N(u,u_x)\|_{H^1}\right).$$

Since (4.1) yields

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1} \le C\|\alpha(t)\|_{H^{0,1}} \le C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\xi}{\langle\xi\rangle^2}\right)^{1/2} \sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}}\big(\langle\xi\rangle^2|\alpha(t,\xi)|\big) \le C\varepsilon^{2/3},$$

we see that $B(t)$ can be dominated by $C\varepsilon^{2/3}(1+t)^{-1}$. Also we observe that the usual Leibniz rule leads to

$$\partial_x^3 N(u,u_x) = q_1(u,u_x)\partial_x(\partial_x^3 u) + q_2(u,u_x)\partial_x(\overline{\partial_x^3 u}) + \rho_1,$$

where q_1, q_2 are defined by

$$q_1(z,\zeta) = \frac{\partial N}{\partial\zeta}(z,\zeta), \qquad q_2(z,\zeta) = \frac{\partial N}{\partial\overline{\zeta}}(z,\zeta), \tag{4.5}$$

and ρ_1 satisfies

$$\|\rho_1\|_{L^2} \le C\|u\|_{W^{2,\infty}}^2\|u\|_{H^3}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left\langle \mathcal{S}_\Phi\partial_x^3 u, \mathcal{S}_\Phi\partial_x^3 N(u,u_x)\right\rangle_{L^2}\right| \\
&\le \left|\left\langle \mathcal{S}_\Phi\partial_x^3 u, \mathcal{S}_\Phi q_1(u,u_x)\partial_x(\partial_x^3 u)\right\rangle_{L^2}\right| + \left|\left\langle \mathcal{S}_\Phi\partial_x^3 u, \mathcal{S}_\Phi q_2(u,u_x)\partial_x(\overline{\partial_x^3 u})\right\rangle_{L^2}\right| + C\|\mathcal{S}_\Phi\partial_x^3 u\|_{L^2}\|\mathcal{S}_\Phi\rho_1\|_{L^2} \\
&\le C\varepsilon^{2/3}e^{C\varepsilon^{-2/3}\|u\|_{H^1}^2}\left\|\sqrt{\Phi}\mathcal{S}_\Phi|\partial_x|^{1/2}\partial_x^3 u\right\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + Ce^{C\varepsilon^{-2/3}\|u\|_{H^1}^2}\left(1 + \varepsilon^{-4/3}\|u\|_{H^1}^4 + \varepsilon^{-4/3}\|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^4\right)\|u\|_{W^{2,\infty}}^2\|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + Ce^{C\varepsilon^{-2/3}\|u\|_{H^1}^2}\|u\|_{W^{2,\infty}}^2\|u\|_{H^3}^2 \\
&\le C_0\varepsilon^{2/3}\left\|\sqrt{\Phi}\mathcal{S}_\Phi|\partial_x|^{1/2}\partial_x^3 u\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C\varepsilon^{8/3}}{(1+t)^{1-2\gamma}}
\end{aligned}$$

with some positive constant C_0 not depending on ε. Piecing the above estimates all together, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathcal{S}_\Phi\partial_x^3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \le \left(2C_0\varepsilon^{2/3}-1\right)\left\|\sqrt{\Phi}\mathcal{S}_\Phi|\partial_x|^{1/2}\partial_x^3 u\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C(\varepsilon^2+\varepsilon^{8/3})}{(1+t)^{1-2\gamma}} \le \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{(1+t)^{1-2\gamma}}, \tag{4.6}$$

provided that $\varepsilon \le (2C_0)^{-3/2}$. Integrating with respect to t, we have

$$\|\mathcal{S}_\Phi\partial_x^3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \le Ce^{C\varepsilon^{2/3}}\varepsilon^2 + C\varepsilon^2(1+t)^{2\gamma} \le C\varepsilon^2(1+t)^{2\gamma},$$

whence

$$\|\partial_x^3 u(t)\|_{L^2} \le e^{C\varepsilon^{-2/3}\|u(t)\|_{H^1}^2}\|\mathcal{S}_\Phi\partial_x^3 u(t)\|_{L^2} \le C\varepsilon(1+t)^\gamma \tag{4.7}$$

for $t\in[0,T)$.

Step 4: Estimate for $\|\partial_x\mathcal{J}\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^2}$. By using the commutation relation $[\mathcal{L},\partial_x\mathcal{Z}] = 2\partial_x\mathcal{L}$ and the Leibniz rule for $\mathcal{Z}$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}\partial_x\mathcal{Z}u = q_1(u,u_x)\partial_x(\partial_x\mathcal{Z}u) + q_2(u,u_x)\partial_x(\overline{\partial_x\mathcal{Z}u}) + \rho_2,$$

where q_1, q_2 are given by (4.5), and ρ_2 satisfies

$$\|\rho_2\|_{L^2} \le C\|u\|_{W^{2,\infty}}^2(\|u\|_{H^2} + \|\mathcal{Z}u\|_{H^2}).$$

Since the relation (3.1) leads to

$$\|\mathcal{Z}u\|_{H^2} \le \|\mathcal{J}\partial_x u\|_{H^2} + 2t\|N(u,u_x)\|_{H^2} \le C\varepsilon(1+t)^\gamma,$$

we see that

$$\|\rho_2\|_{L^2} \le \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{(1+t)^{1-\gamma}}.$$

Thus, as in the derivation of (4.6), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathcal{S}_\Phi\partial_x\mathcal{Z}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \le \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{(1+t)^{1-2\gamma}},$$

which yields

$$\|\partial_x\mathcal{Z}u(t)\|_{L^2} \le C\varepsilon(1+t)^\gamma.$$

Finally, by using the relation (3.1) again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x\mathcal{J}\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^2} &\le \|\partial_x\mathcal{Z}u\|_{L^2} + 2t\|\partial_x N(u,u_x)\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C\varepsilon(1+t)^\gamma + 2t\frac{C\varepsilon^2}{(1+t)^{1-\gamma}}\\ &\le C\varepsilon(1+t)^\gamma\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

for $t\in[0,T)$.

Final step. Substituting (4.3), (4.4), (4.7), (4.8) into (4.2), we arrive at the desired estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^3} + \|\mathcal{J}u(t)\|_{H^2} \le C\varepsilon(1+t)^\gamma$$

for $t\in[0,T)$.

4.2. **Estimates for α.** In this part, we will show $\langle\xi\rangle^2|\alpha(t,\xi)| \le C\varepsilon$ for $(t,\xi)\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}$ under the assumption (4.1). If $t\le 1$, the Sobolev embedding yields this estimate immediately. Hence we may assume $T>1$ and $t\in[1,T)$ in what follows.

Now let us introduce a useful lemma, which is due to Hayashi–Naumkin [7] though the expression is slightly different. We write $\alpha_\omega(t,\xi)=\alpha(t,\xi/\omega)$ for $\omega\in\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that N satisfies* (1.3). *Then, for $l\in\{0,1,2\}$, the following decomposition holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{GU}^{-1}\partial_x^l N(u,u_x) =& \frac{(i\xi)^l\nu(\xi)}{t}|\alpha|^2\alpha + \frac{\xi e^{i\frac{t}{3}\xi^2}}{t}\mu_{1,l}(\xi)\alpha_3^3 \\ &+ \frac{\xi e^{i\frac{2t}{3}\xi^2}}{t}\mu_{2,l}(\xi)\big(\overline{\alpha_{-3}}\big)^3 + \frac{\xi e^{it\xi^2}}{t}\mu_{3,l}(\xi)|\alpha_{-1}|^2\overline{\alpha_{-1}} + R_l,\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where $\mu_{1,l}(\xi)$, $\mu_{2,l}(\xi)$, $\mu_{3,l}(\xi)$ are polynomials in ξ of order at most $2+l$, and $R_l(t,\xi)$ satisfies

$$\sum_{l=0}^{2}\|R_l(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le \frac{C}{t^{5/4}}\big(\|u(t)\|_{H^3}+\|\mathcal{J}u(t)\|_{H^2}\big)^3$$

for $t\ge 1$.

The proof of this lemma will be given in the appendix. It follows from this lemma that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\xi\rangle^2 i\partial_t\alpha &= \mathcal{GU}^{-1}(1-\partial_x^2)\mathcal{L}u \\ &= \mathcal{GU}^{-1}N(u,u_x) - \mathcal{GU}^{-1}\partial_x^2 N(u,u_x) \\ &= \frac{\langle\xi\rangle^2\nu(\xi)}{t}|\alpha|^2\alpha + V + R_0 - R_2,\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$V(t,\xi) = \frac{\xi e^{it\xi^2/3}}{t}p_1(\xi)\alpha_3^3 + \frac{\xi e^{i2t\xi^2/3}}{t}p_2(\xi)\overline{\alpha_{-3}}^3 + \frac{\xi e^{it\xi^2}}{t}p_3(\xi)|\alpha_{-1}|^2\overline{\alpha_{-1}}$$

with $p_k(\xi)=\mu_{k,0}(\xi)-\mu_{k,2}(\xi)$ $(k=1,2,3)$. We deduce from (4.1) and (4.10) that

$$|\partial_t\alpha(t,\xi)| \le \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{\langle\xi\rangle^2 t}, \qquad (t,\xi)\in[1,T)\times\mathbb{R}.$$

Also, by using the identity

$$\frac{\xi e^{i\omega t\xi^2}}{t}A(t,\xi) = i\partial_t\left(\frac{-i\xi e^{i\omega t\xi^2}}{1+i\omega t\xi^2}A(t,\xi)\right) - te^{i\omega t\xi^2}\partial_t\left(\frac{\xi A(t,\xi)}{t(1+i\omega t\xi^2)}\right),$$

we see that V can be splitted into the following form:

$$V = i\partial_t V_1 + V_2, \quad |V_1(t,\xi)| \le \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{t^{1/2}}, \quad |V_2(t,\xi)| \le \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{t^{3/2}}.$$

Note that

$$\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}}\left|\frac{\xi}{1+i\omega t\xi^2}\right| \le \frac{C}{t^{1/2}}$$

if $\omega \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$. Now we set $\beta(t,\xi) = \langle\xi\rangle^2\alpha(t,\xi) - V_1(t,\xi)$ and $\kappa(\xi) = \langle\xi\rangle^{-4}\nu(\xi)$. Then we have

$$i\partial_t\beta(t,\xi) = \frac{\kappa(\xi)}{t}|\beta(t,\xi)|^2\beta(t,\xi) + \rho(t,\xi), \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$\rho(t,\xi) = \frac{\kappa(\xi)}{t}\left(\left|\langle\xi\rangle^2\alpha\right|^2\langle\xi\rangle^2\alpha - |\beta|^2\beta\right) + V_2(t,\xi) + R_0(t,\xi) - R_2(t,\xi).$$

Remark that ρ can be regarded as a remainder because we have

$$|\rho(t,\xi)| \le \frac{C}{t}\cdot\left(C\varepsilon^{2/3}\right)^2\cdot\frac{C\varepsilon^2}{t^{1/2}} + \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{t^{3/2}} + \frac{C}{t^{5/4}}\cdot\left(C\varepsilon^{2/3}t^{\gamma}\right)^3 \le \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{t^{1+\mu}}$$

with $\mu = 1/4 - 3\gamma > 0$. Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}}\left|\beta(1,\xi) - \varepsilon\langle\xi\rangle^2\hat{\varphi}(\xi)\right| &\le C\left\|(1-\partial_x^2)\left(\mathcal{U}(1)^{-1}u(1,\cdot) - \varepsilon\varphi\right)\right\|_{H^{0,1}} + \sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}}|V_1(1,\xi)| \\
&\le C\int_0^1 \left\|N(u(t),u_x(t))\right\|_{H^{2,1}}dt + C\varepsilon^2 \\
&\le C\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]}\|u(t)\|_{H^3\cap H^{2,1}}\right)^3 + C\varepsilon^2 \\
&\le C\varepsilon^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can apply Lemma 2.1 with $\theta_0(\xi) = \langle\xi\rangle^2\hat{\varphi}(\xi)$ and $\tau_1 = \tilde{\tau}_0$ to obtain $|\beta(t,\xi)| \le C\varepsilon$, whence

$$\langle\xi\rangle^2|\alpha(t,\xi)| \le |\beta(t,\xi)| + |V_1(t,\xi)| \le C\varepsilon$$

for $(t,\xi) \in [1,T)\times\mathbb{R}$, as desired. □

5. Proof of the main theorem

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.1. First we state a standard local existence result without proof. Let $t_0 \ge 0$ be fixed, and consider the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}u = N(u,u_x), & t > t_0,\ x\in\mathbb{R}, \\ u(t_0,x) = \psi(x), & x\in\mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let N be a cubic homogeneous polynomial in $(u,\overline{u},u_x,\overline{u_x})$. Let $\psi \in H^3\cap H^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})$. Then there exists $T_0 = T_0(\|\psi\|_{H^3}) > 0$, independent of t_0, such that (5.1) has a unique solution $u \in C\left([t_0,t_0+T_0);H^3\cap H^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.*

See [21], [16], [1], [20], [13], etc., for more details on local existence theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let T_ε be the lifespan defined in the statement of Theorem 1.1. We remark that Lemma 5.1 with $t_0 = 0$ and $\psi = \varepsilon\varphi$ implies $T_\varepsilon > 0$. Next we set

$$T^* = \sup\{T \in [0,T_\varepsilon) \mid E(T) \le \varepsilon^{2/3}\}.$$

Note that $T^*>0$ if ε is suitably small, because of the estimate $E(0)\le C\varepsilon\le(1/2)\varepsilon^{2/3}$ and the continuity of $[0,T_\varepsilon)\ni T\mapsto E(T)$. Now, we take $\sigma\in(0,\tilde\tau_0)$ and assume $T^*\le e^{\sigma/\varepsilon^2}$. Then Lemma 4.1 with $T=T^*$ yields

$$E(T^*)\le K\varepsilon\le\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{2/3}$$

if $\varepsilon\le\min\{\varepsilon_0,(2K)^{-3}\}$. By the continuity of $[0,T_\varepsilon)\ni T\mapsto E(T)$, we can choose $\Delta>0$ such that

$$E(T^*+\Delta)\le\varepsilon^{2/3}.$$

This contradicts the definition of T^*. Therefore we must have $T^*\ge e^{\sigma/\varepsilon^2}$ if ε is suitably small. Consequently, we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon\to+0}\varepsilon^2\log T_\varepsilon\ge\sigma.$$

Since $\sigma\in(0,\tilde\tau_0)$ is arbitrary, we arrive at the desired conclusion. □

Appendix A. Proof of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3

In this appendix, we will prove Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 along the idea of [7].

A.1. **Proof of Lemma 4.2.** First we observe that the nonlinear term N satisfying (1.3) can be written as $N=F+G$, where

$$\begin{aligned}F=&a_1u^2u_x+a_2uu_x^2+a_3u_x^3+b_1\overline{u^2u_x}+b_2\overline{uu_x^2}+b_3\overline{u_x^3}\\&+c_1\overline{u^2}u_x+c_2|u|^2\overline{u_x}+c_3u\overline{u_x^2}+c_4|u_x|^2\overline{u}+c_5|u_x|^2\overline{u_x}\end{aligned}\tag{A.1}$$

and

$$G=\lambda_1|u|^2u+\lambda_2|u|^2u_x+\lambda_3u^2\overline{u_x}+\lambda_4|u_x|^2u+\lambda_5\overline{u}u_x^2+\lambda_6|u_x|^2u_x\tag{A.2}$$

with a_j, b_j, c_j, $\lambda_j\in\mathbb{C}$. Note that G is gauge-invariant, while F is not. By using the identities

$$\phi\partial_x\psi=(\partial_x\phi)\psi+\frac{1}{it}\big(\phi\mathcal{J}\psi-(\mathcal{J}\phi)\psi\big)\tag{A.3}$$

and

$$\phi\partial_x\overline{\psi}=-(\partial_x\phi)\overline{\psi}+\frac{1}{it}\big((\mathcal{J}\phi)\overline{\psi}-\phi\overline{\mathcal{J}\psi}\big),\tag{A.4}$$

we see that F can be splitted into $F=\partial_xF_1+\frac{1}{it}F_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned}F_1=&\frac{a_1}{3}u^3+\frac{a_2}{3}u^2u_x+\frac{a_3}{3}u_x^2u+\frac{b_1}{3}\overline{u^3}+\frac{b_2}{3}\overline{u^2u_x}+\frac{b_3}{3}\overline{uu_x^2}\\&+(c_2-c_1)|u|^2\overline{u}+c_3|u|^2\overline{u_x}+c_4\overline{u}^2u_x+c_5|u_x|^2\overline{u}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}F_2=&\frac{a_2}{3}u\big(u\mathcal{J}u_x-u_x\mathcal{J}u\big)+\frac{2a_3}{3}u_x\big(u\mathcal{J}u_x-u_x\mathcal{J}u\big)\\&-\frac{b_2}{3}\overline{u\big(u\mathcal{J}u_x-u_x\mathcal{J}u\big)}-\frac{2b_3}{3}\overline{u_x\big(u\mathcal{J}u_x-u_x\mathcal{J}u\big)}\\&+(c_2-2c_1)\overline{u}\big(u\overline{\mathcal{J}u}-\overline{u}\mathcal{J}u\big)-c_3\overline{u}\big(\overline{u}_x\mathcal{J}u-u_x\overline{\mathcal{J}u_x}\big)\\&-c_4\overline{u}\big(\overline{u}\mathcal{J}u_x-u\overline{\mathcal{J}u}\big)-c_5\overline{u}\big(\overline{u}_x\mathcal{J}u_x-u_x\overline{\mathcal{J}u_x}\big).\end{aligned}$$

We deduce from the relation (3.1) that

$$\mathcal{J}N(u,u_x) = (\mathcal{Z}+2it\mathcal{L})F_1 + \frac{1}{it}\mathcal{J}F_2 + \mathcal{J}G = \mathcal{L}(tP) + Q,$$

where $P = 2iF_1$ and $Q = (\mathcal{Z}+2)F_1 + \frac{1}{it}\mathcal{J}F_2 + \mathcal{J}G$. By the Leibniz rule for $\mathcal{Z}$, we have

$$\|(\mathcal{Z}+2)F_1\|_{L^2} \le C\|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2\big(\|\mathcal{Z}u\|_{H^1} + \|u\|_{H^1}\big).$$

On the other hand, since G is gauge-invariant, we can use the identity

$$\mathcal{J}(f_1f_2\overline{f_3}) = (\mathcal{J}f_1)f_2\overline{f_3} + f_1(\mathcal{J}f_2)\overline{f_3} - f_1f_2\overline{\mathcal{J}f_3}$$

to obtain

$$\|\mathcal{J}G\|_{L^2} \le C\|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2\big(\|\mathcal{J}u\|_{H^1} + \|u\|_{L^2}\big).$$

As for F_2, we see that

$$\|\mathcal{J}F_2\|_{L^2} \le Ct\|u\|_{W^{2,\infty}}^2\big(\|\mathcal{J}u\|_{H^1} + \|u\|_{L^2}\big) + C\|u\|_{W^{2,\infty}}\big(\|\mathcal{J}u\|_{H^2} + \|u\|_{H^1}\big)^2.$$

Piecing them together, we arrive at the desired decomposition. □

A.2. **Proof of Lemma 4.3.** Before we proceed to the proof of Lemma 4.3, we introduce some notations. We put

$$\big(\mathcal{M}(t)\phi\big)(x) = e^{i\frac{x^2}{2t}}\phi(x), \quad \big(\mathcal{D}(t)\phi\big)(x) = t^{-1/2}\phi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right), \quad \mathcal{V}(t)\phi = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{M}(t)\mathcal{G}^{-1}\phi,$$

so that $\mathcal{U}(t)$ is decomposed into $\mathcal{U}(t) = \mathcal{M}(t)\mathcal{D}(t)\mathcal{G}\mathcal{M}(t) = \mathcal{M}(t)\mathcal{D}(t)\mathcal{V}(t)\mathcal{G}$. Note that

$$\|(\mathcal{V}(t)-1)\phi\|_{L^\infty} + \|(\mathcal{V}(t)^{-1}-1)\phi\|_{L^\infty} \le Ct^{-1/4}\|\phi\|_{H^1}, \tag{A.5}$$

which comes from the inequalities $|e^{i\theta}-1| \le C|\theta|^{1/2}$ and $\|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \le Ct^{-1/2}\|\phi\|_{L^2}^{1/2}\|\mathcal{J}\phi\|_{L^2}^{1/2}$. In what follows, we will occasionally omit "(t)" from $\mathcal{M}(t)$, $\mathcal{D}(t)$, $\mathcal{V}(t)$ if it causes no confusion, and we will write $\mathcal{D}_\omega = \mathcal{D}(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$.

Lemma A.1. *We have*

$$\|\mathcal{G}\mathcal{U}^{-1}[f_1f_2f_3]\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathcal{G}\mathcal{U}^{-1}[f_1f_2\overline{f_3}]\|_{L^\infty} \le \frac{C}{t^{1/2}}\|f_1\|_{L^2}\|f_2\|_{L^2}\big(\|f_3\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{J}f_3\|_{L^2}\big).$$

Proof. From the relation $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{U}^{-1} = \mathcal{V}^{-1}\mathcal{D}^{-1}\mathcal{M}^{-1}$ and the estimate $\|\mathcal{V}^{-1}\phi\|_{L^\infty} \le Ct^{1/2}\|\phi\|_{L^1}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{G}\mathcal{U}^{-1}[f_1f_2f_3]\|_{L^\infty} &\le Ct^{1/2}\|\mathcal{D}^{-1}\mathcal{M}^{-1}[f_1f_2f_3]\|_{L^1} \\
&\le Ct^{1/2}\cdot t^{-1}\|(\mathcal{D}^{-1}f_1)(\mathcal{D}^{-1}f_2)(\mathcal{D}^{-1}\mathcal{M}^{-1}f_3)\|_{L^1} \\
&\le Ct^{-1/2}\|\mathcal{D}^{-1}f_1\|_{L^2}\|\mathcal{D}^{-1}f_2\|_{L^2}\|\mathcal{D}^{-1}\mathcal{M}^{-1}f_3\|_{L^\infty} \\
&= Ct^{-1/2}\|f_1\|_{L^2}\|f_2\|_{L^2}\cdot t^{1/2}\|f_3\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\le Ct^{-1/2}\|f_1\|_{L^2}\|f_2\|_{L^2}\big(\|f_3\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{J}f_3\|_{L^2}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

We have used the inequality $\|f\|_{L^\infty} \le Ct^{-1/2}\|f\|_{L^2}^{1/2}\|\mathcal{J}f\|_{L^2}^{1/2}$ in the last line. The estimate for $\|\mathcal{G}\mathcal{U}^{-1}(f_1f_2\overline{f_3})\|_{L^\infty}$ can be shown in the same way. □

Next we set $(\mathcal{E}^\omega(t)f)(y) = e^{i\omega\frac{ty^2}{2}}f(y)$ and $\mathcal{A}_\omega(t) = \mathcal{V}(t)^{-1}\mathcal{E}^{\omega-1}(t) - \mathcal{E}^{\frac{\omega-1}{\omega}}(t)\mathcal{D}_\omega$.

Lemma A.2. *For $\omega \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$, we have*

$$\|\mathcal{A}_\omega(t)f\|_{L^\infty} \le Ct^{-1/4}\|f\|_{H^1}.$$

Proof. It follows from the relation $\mathcal{V}(t)^{-1} = \mathcal{U}(\frac{1}{t})$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}(t)^{-1}\mathcal{E}^{\omega-1}(t)f(\xi) &= \sqrt{\frac{t}{2\pi i}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\frac{t}{2}(\xi-y)^2}e^{i\frac{\omega-1}{2}ty^2}f(y)\,dy\\ &= e^{i\frac{\omega-1}{2\omega}t\xi^2}\sqrt{\frac{t}{2\pi i}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\frac{\omega t}{2}\left(\frac{\xi}{\omega}-y\right)^2}f(y)\,dy\\ &= \mathcal{E}^{\frac{\omega-1}{\omega}}(t)\mathcal{D}_\omega\mathcal{V}(-\omega t)f(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we deduce from (A.5) that

$$\|\mathcal{A}_\omega(t)f\|_{L^\infty} = \|\mathcal{E}^{\frac{\omega-1}{\omega}}(t)\mathcal{D}_\omega(\mathcal{V}(-\omega t)-1)f\|_{L^\infty} \le C\|(\mathcal{V}(-\omega t)-1)f\|_{L^\infty} \le Ct^{-1/4}\|f\|_{H^1}.$$

□

Now we are going to prove Lemma 4.3. For simplicity of exposition, we consider only the case where

$$N(u,u_x) = \lambda|u_x|^2u_x + au_x^3 + b\overline{u_x^3} + c|u_x|^2\overline{u_x}. \tag{A.6}$$

General cubic terms N satisfying (1.3) (or, equivalently, $N = F + G$ with (A.1) and (A.2)) can be treated in the same way. Note that

$$\nu(\xi) = i\xi^3\int_0^{2\pi}\left(\lambda e^{i\theta} - ae^{3i\theta} + be^{-3i\theta} - ce^{-i\theta}\right)e^{-i\theta}\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = i\lambda\xi^3$$

if N is given by (A.6), whereas

$$\nu(\xi) = \lambda_1 + i(\lambda_2-\lambda_3)\xi + (\lambda_4-\lambda_5)\xi^2 + i\lambda_6\xi^3 \tag{A.7}$$

if $N = F + G$ with (A.1), (A.2).

First we consider the case of $l = 0$. We put $\alpha^{(s)} = (i\xi)^s\alpha$ so that $\partial_x^s u = \mathcal{M}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(s)}$. We also set $\big(\mathcal{M}^\omega(t)f\big)(y) = e^{i\omega\frac{y^2}{2t}}f(y)$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}N(u,u_x) =& \lambda\mathcal{M}|\mathcal{D}\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}|^2\mathcal{D}\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)} + a\mathcal{M}^3(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)})^3\\ &+ b\mathcal{M}^{-3}(\overline{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}})^3 + c\mathcal{M}^{-1}|\mathcal{D}\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}|^2\overline{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}}\\ =& \frac{\lambda}{t}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{D}\Big[|\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}|^2\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}\Big] + \frac{a}{t}\mathcal{M}^3\mathcal{D}\Big[(\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)})^3\Big]\\ &+ \frac{b}{t}\mathcal{M}^{-3}\mathcal{D}\Big[(\overline{\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}})^3\Big] + \frac{c}{t}\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{D}\Big[|\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}|^2\overline{\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}}\Big].\end{aligned}$$

By the relation $\mathcal{GU}^{-1}\mathcal{M}^{\omega}\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{V}^{-1}\mathcal{E}^{\omega-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{GU}^{-1}N(u,u_x) =& \frac{\lambda}{t}\mathcal{V}^{-1}\Big[|\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}|^2\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}\Big] + \frac{a}{t}\mathcal{V}^{-1}\mathcal{E}^2\Big[(\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)})^3\Big] \\ &+ \frac{b}{t}\mathcal{V}^{-1}\mathcal{E}^{-4}\Big[(\overline{\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}})^3\Big] + \frac{c}{t}\mathcal{V}^{-1}\mathcal{E}^{-2}\Big[|\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}|^2\overline{\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}}\Big] \\ =& \frac{\lambda}{t}i\xi^3|\alpha|^2\alpha + \frac{a}{t}\mathcal{E}^{\frac{2}{3}}\mathcal{D}_3\Big[-i\xi^3\alpha^3\Big] \\ &+ \frac{b}{t}\mathcal{E}^{\frac{4}{3}}\mathcal{D}_{-3}\Big[i\xi^3\overline{\alpha}^3\Big] + \frac{c}{t}\mathcal{E}^2\mathcal{D}_{-1}\Big[-i\xi^3|\alpha|^2\overline{\alpha}\Big] + \frac{\Omega_0}{t},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_0 =& -\lambda\Big(|\alpha^{(1)}|^2\alpha^{(1)} - |\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}|^2\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}\Big) + \lambda\big(\mathcal{V}^{-1}-1\big)\Big[|\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}|^2\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}\Big] \\ &- a\mathcal{E}^{\frac{2}{3}}(t)\mathcal{D}_3\Big[(\alpha^{(1)})^3 - (\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)})^3\Big] + a\mathcal{A}_3(t)\Big[(\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)})^3\Big] \\ &- b\mathcal{E}^{\frac{4}{3}}(t)\mathcal{D}_{-3}\Big[(\overline{\alpha^{(1)}})^3 - (\overline{\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}})^3\Big] + b\mathcal{A}_{-3}(t)\Big[(\overline{\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}})^3\Big] \\ &- c\mathcal{E}^2(t)\mathcal{D}_{-1}\Big[|\alpha^{(1)}|^2\overline{\alpha^{(1)}} - |\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}|^2\overline{\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}}\Big] + c\mathcal{A}_{-1}(t)\Big[|\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}|^2\overline{\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}}\Big].\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (A.5) and Lemma A.2, we see that

$$\|\Omega_0\|_{L^\infty} \le \frac{C}{t^{1/4}}\|u\|_{H^2}^3.$$

Therefore we obtain (4.9) with $l = 0$ by putting $\mu_{1,0}(\xi) = -i\frac{a}{27\sqrt{3}}\xi^2$, $\mu_{2,0}(\xi) = \frac{-b}{27\sqrt{3}}\xi^2$, $\mu_{3,0}(\xi) = c\xi^2$.

Next we consider the case of $l = 1$. It follow from the identity (A.4) that

$$\partial_x N(u,u_x) = \lambda|u_x|^2u_{xx} + 3au_x^2u_{xx} + 3b\overline{u_x^2u_{xx}} + c|u_x|^2\overline{u_{xx}} + \frac{1}{it}r_1,$$

where $r_1 = (\lambda u_x + c\overline{u}_x)\big((\mathcal{J}u_x)\overline{u_x} - u_x\overline{\mathcal{J}u_x}\big)$. By Lemma A.1, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{GU}^{-1}r_1\|_{L^\infty} \le \frac{C}{t^{1/2}}\big(\|u\|_{H^1} + \|\mathcal{J}u\|_{H^1}\big)^3.$$

We also set $h_1 = \lambda|u_x|^2u_{xx} + 3au_x^2u_{xx} + 3b\overline{u_x^2u_{xx}} + c|u_x|^2\overline{u_{xx}}$ so that

$$\mathcal{GU}^{-1}\partial_x N(u,u_x) = \mathcal{GU}^{-1}h_1 + \frac{1}{it}\mathcal{GU}^{-1}r_1.$$

Then, as in the previous case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{GU}^{-1}h_1 \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{t}\xi^4|\alpha|^2\alpha + \frac{3a}{t}\mathcal{E}^{\frac{2}{3}}\mathcal{D}_3\Big[\xi^4\alpha^3\Big] + \frac{3b}{t}\mathcal{E}^{\frac{4}{3}}\mathcal{D}_{-3}\Big[\xi^4\overline{\alpha}^3\Big] + \frac{c}{t}\mathcal{E}^2\mathcal{D}_{-1}\left[-\xi^4|\alpha|^2\overline{\alpha}\right] + \frac{\Omega_1}{t},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_1 =& -\lambda\Big(|\alpha^{(1)}|^2\alpha^{(2)} - |\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}|^2\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(2)}\Big) + \lambda\Big(\mathcal{V}^{-1}-1\Big)\Big[|\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}|^2\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(2)}\Big]\\ &- 3a\mathcal{E}^{\frac{2}{3}}(t)\mathcal{D}_3\Big[(\alpha^{(1)})^2\alpha^{(2)} - (\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)})^2\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}\Big] + 3a\mathcal{A}_3(t)\Big[(\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)})^2\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(2)}\Big]\\ &- 3b\mathcal{E}^{\frac{4}{3}}(t)\mathcal{D}_{-3}\Big[\overline{(\alpha^{(1)})^2\alpha^{(2)}} - \overline{(\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)})^2\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(2)}}\Big] + 3b\mathcal{A}_{-3}(t)\Big[\overline{(\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)})^2\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(2)}}\Big]\\ &+ c\mathcal{E}^2(t)\mathcal{D}_{-1}\Big[|\alpha^{(1)}|^2\overline{\alpha^{(2)}} - |\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}|^2\overline{\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(2)}}\Big] + c\mathcal{A}_{-1}(t)\Big[|\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(1)}|^2\overline{\mathcal{V}\alpha^{(2)}}\Big].\end{aligned}$$

By (A.5) and Lemma A.2, we have

$$\|\Omega_1\|_{L^\infty} \le \frac{C}{t^{1/4}}\|u\|_{H^3}^3.$$

Therefore, by setting $\mu_{1,1}(\xi) = \frac{a}{27\sqrt{3}}\xi^3$, $\mu_{2,1}(\xi) = \frac{b}{27\sqrt{3}i}\xi^3$, $\mu_{3,1}(\xi) = -ic\xi^3$, we obtain (4.9) with $l = 1$.

Finally we consider the case of $l = 2$. From the identities (A.3) and (A.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x^2 N(u,u_x) =& \lambda u_x\cdot\overline{u_x}\partial_x(u_{xx}) + c\overline{u_x}\cdot u_x\partial_x(\overline{u_{xx}}) + (\lambda u_{xx} + c\overline{u_{xx}})\partial_x\big(|u_x|^2\big)\\ &+ 3au_x\big(2u_{xx}^2 + u_x\partial_x(u_{xx})\big) + 3b\overline{u_x\big(2u_{xx}^2 + u_x\partial_x(u_{xx})\big)} + \frac{1}{it}\partial_x r_1\\ =& h_2 + \frac{1}{it}r_2,\end{aligned}$$

where $h_2 = -\lambda|u_{xx}|^2u_x + 9au_{xx}^2u_x + 9b\overline{u_{xx}^2u_x} - c|u_{xx}|^2\overline{u_x}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}r_2 =& \lambda u_x\big((\mathcal{J}u_{xx})\overline{u_x} - u_{xx}\overline{\mathcal{J}u_x}\big) + c\overline{u}_x\big((\mathcal{J}u_x)\overline{u_{xx}} - u_x\overline{\mathcal{J}u_{xx}}\big)\\ &+ (\lambda u_{xx} + c\overline{u_{xx}})\big((\mathcal{J}u_x)\overline{u_x} - u_x\overline{\mathcal{J}u_x}\big) + 3au_x\big(u_x\mathcal{J}u_{xx} - (\mathcal{J}u_x)u_{xx}\big)\\ &- 3b\overline{u_x\big(u_x\mathcal{J}u_{xx} - (\mathcal{J}u_x)u_{xx}\big)} + \partial_x r_1.\end{aligned}$$

We deduce as before that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{G}\mathcal{U}^{-1}h_2\\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{t}i\xi^5|\alpha|^2\alpha + \frac{9a}{t}\mathcal{E}^{\frac{2}{3}}\mathcal{D}_3\Big[i\xi^5\alpha^3\Big] + \frac{9b}{t}\mathcal{E}^{\frac{4}{3}}\mathcal{D}_{-3}\Big[-i\xi^5\overline{\alpha}^3\Big] - \frac{c}{t}\mathcal{E}^2\mathcal{D}_{-1}\Big[-i\xi^5|\alpha|^2\overline{\alpha}\Big] + \frac{\Omega_2}{t}\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\|\Omega_2\|_{L^\infty} \le \frac{C}{t^{1/4}}\|u\|_{H^3}^3.$$

We also have

$$\|\mathcal{G}\mathcal{U}^{-1}r_2\|_{L^\infty} \le \frac{C}{t^{1/2}}\big(\|u\|_{H^2} + \|\mathcal{J}u\|_{H^2}\big)^3$$

by virtue of Lemma A.1. Now we set $\mu_{1,2}(\xi) = \frac{ai}{27\sqrt{3}}\xi^4$, $\mu_{2,2}(\xi) = \frac{b}{27\sqrt{3}}\xi^4$, $\mu_{3,2}(\xi) = -c\xi^4$. Then we arrive at (4.9) with $l = 2$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3. □

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to Professor Soichiro Katayama for his useful conversations on this subject. The work of H. S. is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 25400161), JSPS.

References

[1] H. Chihara, *Local existence for the semilinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension,* J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **34** (1994), no.2, 353–367.

[2] D. Christodoulou, *Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small initial data,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986), no.2, 267–282.

[3] J.-M. Delort, *Minoration du temps d'existence pour l'équation de Klein-Gordon non-linéaire en dimension 1 d'espace,* Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **16** (1999), no.5, 563–591.

[4] N. Hayashi and P. I. Naumkin, *Asymptotic behavior in time of solutions to the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation revisited,* Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems **3** (1997), no.3, 383–400.

[5] N. Hayashi and P. I. Naumkin, *Asymptotics for large time of solutions to the nonlinear Schrödinger and Hartree equations,* Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), no.2, 369–389.

[6] N. Hayashi and P. I. Naumkin, *Large time behavior of solutions for derivative cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations without a self-conjugate property,* Funkcial. Ekvac. **42** (1999), no.2, 311–324.

[7] N. Hayashi and P. I. Naumkin, *Asymptotics of small solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations with cubic nonlinearities,* Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **3** (2002), no.3, 255–273.

[8] N. Hayashi and P. I. Naumkin, *Large time behavior for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation,* Canad. J. Math. **54** (2002), no.5, 1065–1085.

[9] N. Hayashi and P. I. Naumkin, *On the asymptotics for cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations,* Complex Var. Theory Appl. **49** (2004), no.5, 339–373.

[10] N. Hayashi and P. I. Naumkin, *Asymptotics of odd solutions for cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations,* J. Differential Equations **246** (2009), no.4, 1703–1722.

[11] N. Hayashi and P. I. Naumkin, *Global existence for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in lower order Sobolev spaces,* Differential Integral Equations **24** (2011), no.9–10, 801–828.

[12] N. Hayashi and P. I. Naumkin, *Logarithmic time decay for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations,* Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2015, no.14, 5604–5643.

[13] N. Hayashi, P. I. Naumkin and P.-N. Pipolo, *Smoothing effects for some derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations,* Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems **5** (1999), no.3, 685–695.

[14] N. Hayashi, P. I. Naumkin and H. Sunagawa, *On the Schrödinger equation with dissipative nonlinearities of derivative type,* SIAM J. Math. Anal. **40** (2008), no.1, 278–291.

[15] N. Hayashi, P. I. Naumkin and H. Uchida, *Large time behavior of solutions for derivative cubic nonlinear Schrd̈inger equations,* Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **35** (1999), no.3, 501–513.

[16] N. Hayashi and T. Ozawa, *Remarks on nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension,* Differential Integral Equations **7** (1994), no. 2, 453–461.

[17] L. Hörmander, *The lifespan of classical solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations,* Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **1256** (1987), 214–280.

[18] L. Hörmander, *Remarks on the Klein-Gordon equation,* Journées équations aux derivées partielles (Saint Jean de Monts, 1–5 juin, 1987), Exp. No.I, 9pp., École Polytech., Palaiseau, 1987.

[19] F. John, *Existence for large times of strict solutions of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions for small initial data,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **40** (1987), no.1, 79–109.

[20] S. Katayama and Y. Tsutsumi, *Global existence of solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension,* Comm. Partial Differential Equations **19** (1994), no.11-12, 1971–1997.

[21] C. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, *Small solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations,* Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **10** (1993), no.3, 255–288.

[22] S. Klainerman, *The null condition and global existence to nonlinear wave equations,* in "Nonlinear Systems of Partial Differential Equations in Applied Mathematics, Part 1," Lectures in Applied Math., vol. 23, pp. 293–326. AMS, Providence, RI (1986).

[23] C. Li and H. Sunagawa, *On Schrödinger systems with cubic dissipative nonlinearities of derivative type*, preprint, 2015 (arXiv:1507.07617 [math.AP]).

[24] P. I. Naumkin, *Cubic derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations,* SUT J. Math. **36** (2000), no.1, 9–42.

[25] P. I. Naumkin, *The dissipative property of a cubic non-linear Schrödinger equation,* Izv. Math. **79** (2015), no.2, 346–374.

[26] T. Ozawa, *On the nonlinear Schrödinger equations of derivative type,* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **45** (1996), no.1, 137–163.
[27] H. Sunagawa, *Lower bounds of the lifespan of small data solutions to the nonlinear Schrödinger equations,* Osaka J. Math. **43** (2006), no.4, 771–789.
[28] S. Tonegawa, *Global existence for a class of cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension,* Hokkaido Math. J. **30** (2001), no.2, 451–473.
[29] Y. Tsutsumi, *The null gauge condition and the one-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation with cubic nonlinearity,* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43** (1994), no.1, 241–254.

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University. Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan.
E-mail address: y-sagawa@cr.math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp
E-mail address: sunagawa@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp